

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,437	O'LENICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Paul A. Zucker.

(3) _____.

 (2) Anthony J. O'Lenick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. O'Lenick to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except that the species $N(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ which appeared in both the claims and specification in the definition of M^+ was not positively charged and would appear to be more correctly the species $HN(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$. Mr. O'Lenick agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 1, line 9: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Claim 1, line 18: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Claim 12, line 14: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Claim 12, line 23: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --.

The specification has been amended as follows:

Page 7, line 5: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Page 7, line 14: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Page 8, line 9: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Page 8, line 18: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --;

Page 9, paragraph [039]: The chemical formula $[N-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3]$ has been deleted and replaced with the formula -- $HN-(CH_2CH_2OH)_3$ --.